CSTF MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING May 25, 2004 9:30 AM TO 3:00 PM Port Of Los Angeles, Main Building 425 S. Palos Verdes St. DRAFT AGENDA

Торіс	Гіте	Process/Speaker	Desired Outcome
I. Introduction	9:30	Review Agenda and Ground rules	
II. Consensus and action items	9:35	Facilitated dialogue	
III Draft Strategy	9:45	Presentation- Steve Cappellino (10 min.) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of efforts to date and consensus on next steps
IV. Decision Tree	10:20	Presentation- Steven John (5 min.) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of efforts to date and consensus on next steps
V. Issue paper: How to provide certainty to all participants on a balance between disposal and reuse – specifically development of TSR and CAD alternatives	10:40	Presentations: Jack Gregg (15 min.) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of possible issues and consensus on next steps
VI. Break	12:00	Working Lunch	Refueling our brains
V. Issue paper: (Continued)	12:15	Continued	Continued
 VII> Review of Strategy Executive Review Process CSTF Executive Committee meeting with all parties Public Review Process 	1:45	Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of possible issues and consensus on next steps
VIII. CSTF Database Website	2:15	Presentation: Paul Johansen (20 min) Clarifying questions	Common understanding of efforts to date and consensus on next steps
VIII. Wrap Up	2:45	Review consensus and action items Identify next steps Meeting evaluation	Review
IX. Meeting Ends	3:00		

(Action items in bold and underlined, consensus in italics)

I Introduction

* Agenda item **VIII**. CSTF Database Website was moved up (after Agenda item **II**) on the agenda to accommodate several MC members who arrived late.

II Consensus and action items

*Reviewed consensus items and action items from March 30 th meeting.

 $(\checkmark$ -completed)

Action Items- Carry Over (again)

<u>*ACTION-Jack Gregg and Ralph-Kathryn will finalize Advisory Committee Guidelines and distribute to signatories</u>

*ACTION- Michael Lyons will schedule next meeting of Advisory Committee meeting before July 27, 04

Balancing

<u>* ACTION - COE (Kathy) will look into Brownfields funding and report back to Management</u> <u>Committee.</u>

✓ <u>Action- Kathy Anderson will offer briefing for Congressional rep. Harmon or staff, with Heal the</u> Bay in attendance, on the Marina Del Ray project.

- Department of Beaches and Harbors for Marina del Ray is requesting 2005 funding for dredging clean material and funding a TSR pilot study(for 25,000 M³)

✓ <u>Action- Kathy Anderson will offer briefing for Congressional rep. Harmon or staff, with Heal the</u> Bay in attendance, on the Marina Del Ray project.

* ACTION – COE will also offer briefing to Congressional rep. Rohrbacher on Marina Del Ray project

✓ <u>Action- Jack Gregg will schedule an Implementation Subcommittee meeting to consider ways to</u> <u>more aggressively pursue a Storage/ Treatment/ Reprocessing Facility</u>

✓ <u>Action- Steve Cappellino will distribute revised "Decision Tree on Dredge Material Placement"</u> <u>To all MC members</u>

✓ <u>Action- Steven John will distribute revised draft of "Definitions of Suitability" to Management</u> <u>Committee for comment.</u>

Incentives

* Pursue "credit" under AB 939 (targets for recycling) for beneficial reuse of contaminated sediment (IWB and RWQCB would have to approve- might require legislative change)

* Create Regulatory Framework that requires use of TSR facility related to CAD use (similar to San Francisco Bay framework for in bay disposal limitations)

✓ <u>Action- Regulatory Agencies</u> will consider 2 concepts above and develop recommendations

VIII CSTF Database Website

* Presentation- Paul Johansen, Susan ?, Peggy Myre

? Funding

- * For next few years SCCWRP can cover data entry
- * Port can provide funding for costs to develop website for next few years
- * Port and SCCWRP will collaborate on development of website

Action- MC members can e-mail comments on website to Paul Johansen within 4 weeks.

III Draft Strategy

* Presentation-Jack Gregg

"Successes"

- Review of opportunities 2x/year
- Clarified decision-making
- Successful pilots
- Investigated SQG
- Identified ways to maximize beneficial reuse
- * What do we need to keep working on?

-TSR development

* Presentation-Steve Cappellino

Handout—Copies of Draft strategy and CD versions (identical to hard copy)

- > 200 pages now and ~ 85% complete

Key elements /issues to consider in review

- duplicate tables exist on projections (will be corrected)
- Will reorganize Source Control section
- -Where is monitoring program going?
 - -Example-BMPs not tied together with field monitoring-i.e. trigger (for more monitoring or different BMPs)
- Section 7-Need more input from Ports on cost i.e. CDF costs
- Section 8.2 -Needs to be rewritten and updated
- Section 8.4- Source control- Need more input
- Executive Summary not written yet
- Figure 2.1 will be updated

Heal the Bay Concerns

- Need hard decisions on Strategy recommendations
- SQG are incomplete

(Note! this conclusion based on review of earlier draft without most recent recommendations of Subcommittee)

- Treatment /Storage- needs more work
- Source Reduction- Need more actions i.e. TMDLs don't address sediments
- Dredging BMPs- need to be clearer on when required

Next Steps?

Action- Heal the Bay will update review based on latest Draft Strategy

TMDLs

Action- Heal the bay will provide a copy of draft generic comments on LA river and Baloona TMDLS to Michael Lyons

Action -Michael Lyons and Jack Gregg will draft letter on TMDLs to RWQCB and EPA on CSTF letterhead and distribute draft to CSTF MOU signatories and heal the Bay by e-mail.

Action- Steven John will check with EPA TMDL staff on approach to LA River TMDL

Consensus- (to be included as recommendation in Draft strategy)

The Advisory Committee will review pertinent proposed TMDLs and Stormwater permits and provide comments to reduce contaminated sediment loadings.

* Dredging BMPs

- Heal the Bay would like to see Strategy move beyond menu to specific requirements

- Identify where it makes sense to require silt curtains
- Specific trigger for monitoring when light transmission limits exceeded

<u>Action—Task Aquatic Subcommittee to develop specific recommendations for use of BMPs including</u> <u>monitoring changes (meeting tentatively scheduled for June 23 in afternoon)</u>

<u>Action-MC members should provide comments on draft Strategy by June 15 – focus on major concerns/ issues/ gaps.</u>

<u>Action- Steve Capellino will have consolidated comments to CSTF MC 1 week before next meeting</u> (June 29th)

IV Decision Tree

* Presentation- Steven John
 Handout—Los Angeles CSTF Sediment Management Decision tree
 Comments received:

 drop clean sediments
 concern- if we don't look at clean sediments we may lose opportunities to beneficially reuse
 contaminated sediments
 Note!- Draft Strategy includes narrative explaining decision tree and inclusion of clean material.

Handout- Suitability

<u>Action- Steven John will redraft "Suitability" paper and include comments from Sediment</u> <u>Subcommittee</u>

V Issue Paper- TSR and CAD

* Presentation-Jack Gregg
 Handout- CSTF Draft Issue Paper
 Balancing Disposal and Reuse Alternatives: TSR facility – 5/24/04

Issue: How to promote the development of one or more Treatment/ Storage/Rehandling facilities (TSR) in a timely manner so as to prevent delays of needed projects.

Background- Consensus items from the CSTF MC

Concepts form Implementation Committee (May 5)

- A. Semiannual meetings by the Advisory Committee to review (and hopefully maximize) opportunities for beneficial reuse of contaminated sediments;
- B. Evaluation of regional entity through a Joint Powers Agreement of City, County, and Ports to own and operate a regional TSR is needed
- C. Develop schedule for TSR development (Action Plan), steps:
 - 1. Look at multiple alternative locations;
 - 2. Develop criteria to evaluate alternatives;
 - 3. Consider more than one TSR;
 - 4. Evaluate TSR Alternatives in the F3 stage of the DMMP process;
 - 5. Ports should continue updating the Advisory Committee on their projected needs for fill capacity and long term plans for use of that capacity
- D. Discussed use of the semiannual Advisory Committee meetings to evaluate progress towards CAD and TSR development and revisit incentives;

Options

1) Incentives only

2) Incentives plus "Action Plan" on TSR and tracking progress

3) Regulatory Framework on use of CAD and TSR (based on RWQCB and other regulatory authority)

Concerns/ Issues?

* Establishing end user and operating parameters which affect type of facilty

- * How would "Action Plan" relate to DMMP and Pilot studies?
- * How do you assure "Regional" facilities ?

After much dialogue the group reached consensus on a number of items

Options

Consensus on option 2) Incentives plus "Action Plan" on TSR and tracking progress

Action Plan

Consensus – Agreement was reached on the following steps for an Action Plan Note! –Sequence is best judgment of facilitator based on 5/25 dialogue

Action Plan Steps

1)Establish siting and use" specifications" for TSR - include in this step- Determine environmental review requirements for TSR

2) Look at multiple alternative locations

3) Complete COE Marina Del Rey "Pilot" studies or equivalent

4) Revisit "specifications" after "Pilot" studies

5) Establish Goal (target date) for TSR implementation after pilot studies

6) Consider more than one TSR

7) Develop criteria to evaluate alternatives

8) Evaluate TSR Alternatives (in the F4 stage of the DMMP process)

9) Evaluation of all management options including a regional entity through a Joint Powers Agreement of City, County, and Ports to own and operate a regional TSR

10) Identify final list of options (alternatives) – sites and processes

-incorporate business and "operability" criteria (cost benefit analysis)

11) Recommendation on preferred alternative and ownership/operation of TSR (one or several)

12) Draft MOU on Site management

Progress Tracking Concepts

Consensus- Agreement was reached on the following elements for Progress Review

Progress Review Elements

- 1) Semiannual meetings by the Advisory Committee to review (and hopefully maximize) opportunities for beneficial reuse of contaminated sediments.
- 2) Ports should continue updating the Advisory Committee on their projected needs for fill capacity and long term plans for use of that capacity
- 3) Use the semiannual Advisory Committee meetings to evaluate progress towards CAD and TSR development and revisit incentives.

Action—Implementation Committee will take "Concepts" and consensus steps and develop a draft Action plan with proposed target dates by June 29th

(meeting tentatively scheduled for June 23, 10-12)

<u>Action- Kathy Anderson</u> will send e-mail to CSTF MC members regarding sending letters of support on Marina Del Rey

VIII Review of Strategy

*Executive Committee Role?

Suggestions

- revisit role after reviewing "Action Plan"

-At minimum present update to executive Committee by e-mail with Executive summary of Strategy

* Target Date for Draft strategy- public review starts? Options-

July, August

Consensus-August 1st

*Review period? Options 30, 45

Consensus- 45 days

* Workshop? Options RWQCB, CCC, combined.

Consensus- Combined workshop early in public review- August

Action- Jack Gregg will check on CCC hosting workshop in August (Orange Co. – Aug. 11,12)

Action- Michael Lyons will check locations for RWQCB meetings in August and September

VII Wrap Up

Next Meeting-July 6, 04 10:00 –3:00

Agenda items

- * Draft strategy- review consolidated comments
- * Review Draft "Action Plan" for TSR
- * Source Control recommendations
- * Use of BMPs

Participants: (list may be incomplete)

Dennis Eschen, City of Long Beach J. Michael Lyons, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board Jack Gregg, California Coastal Commission Kathryn Curtis, Port of Los Angeles Mark Gold, Heal the Bay Steve Bay, Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Steve Cappellino, Anchor Environmental Steven John, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Tom Johnson, Port of Long Beach Ying Poon, Everest Consultants Christine Houston, Port of Long Beach Kathy Anderson, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Paul Johansen, Port of Los Angeles David Moore, MEC-Weston Solutions Russ Boudreau, Moffatt &Nichol Michelle Woo, AMEC Linda Tacconelli, LA County DPW Nick Buhbe, AMEC Susan Davis, Jones and Stokes Peggy Myre, Exa Data-Mapping Services Harry Seraydarian, facilitator