Table PA-10e Coastal Access Points - Big Sur Coast Planning Area

| 1 44 | ole 171 10e Coustui 71 | CCC55 1 01. | nts - Dig Sui Coast I laiin | ing mea | | | |
|------|---|-------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
| 1 | Malpaso Beach (Otter Cove) | 2 | Secure offer of dedicated access. | U | BSLT | Beach owned by Big Sur Land Trust; OTD from beach to highway not yet accepted | Retain; find agency to accept access |
| | | | b. "Improve trail, parking." | N | U | Only available parking is in turnout, south of bridge | Install parking improvements when management resources are available; see Appendix A, p. 140. |
| 1 | Otter Cove | 3 | a. Secure offer of lateral access. | N | BSLT | Status of OTD is unclear | Investigate status of OTD. |
| | | | b. Discourage informal access. | Y | Owners Assn. | Electric gate across private road; No public entrance to Otter Cove. | Retain |
| 1 | South of Otter Cove | 1 | a. Secure access through permits. | N | Private Owner | NC | Obtain lateral access easement for CCT. |
| | | | b. Develop scenic overlooks. | N | U | NC | Develop trail to bluff edge if property becomes available |
| 2 | Doud Property – now Garrapata State Park - Soberanes Point Area | 1 | a. Complete State Park acquisition | Y | DPR | NC | Recommend updating Update name to reference Garrapata State Park with three sub-areas for Soberanes Point, Granite Creek and Garrapata Beach areas; consider adding adjacent county open space and Coastal Conservancy lands on inland side of highway |
| | | | b. Discourage informal access. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| 2 | Doud Property – now Garrapata State Park - Granite Canyon Area | 1 | a. Maintain access for rock climbers. | Y | DPR | Rock climbers still allowed to practice. Vertical access ways are excellent. However, through Coastal Trail is washed out in many places or is overgrown and difficult to find. | Update name to reference Garrapata State Park with three sub-areas for Soberanes Point, Granite Creek and Garrapata Beach areas; consider adding adjacent county open space and Coastal Conservancy lands on inland side of highway |
| | | | b. Discourage informal access. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Limit access to sensitive marine habitats. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|---|--|-------|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | d. Avoid conflicts with Fish and Game lab. | Y | CDFG / DPR | NC | Work with CDFG to identify appropriate ways for Coastal Trail to cross lab property. |
| 2 | Doud Property – now Garrapata State Park – Garrapata Beach | 1 | Provide management to reduce existing impacts. | Y | DPR | Excellent bluff top restoration at main path to Garrapata Beach | Update name to reference Garrapata State Park with three sub-areas for Soberanes Point, Granite Creek and Garrapata Beach areas |
| | | | b. Improve trails. | Y | DPR | Vertical access is excellent. However, through Coastal Trail was damaged or washed out in '98 El Nino, and other portions have become overgrown. | Reconnect and rehabilitate continuous Coastal Trail. |
| | | | c. Develop parking out of view. | N | DPR | NC | Acquire remaining portions of Doud Ranch on east side of Highway 1 to protect views and provide parking. |
| | | | d. Discourage informal access. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | e. Limit access to sensitive marine habitats. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | f. Avoid conflicts with Fish and Game lab. | N.A. | DPR/CDFG | redundant – not in this sub- segment | Delete redundant reference. |
| 3 | Kasler Point (signed as "Vista | 2 | a. Maintain Abalone Cove Overlook. | Y | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | Point") | | b. Obtain dedicated lateral access. (through acceptance of OTD's) | N | U | Uncertain reference to OTD's | Investigate possible OTD's and secure acceptance, if any. |
| | | | c. Obtain shoreline access. | Y | Coastal Conservancy | Land acquired by Coastal Conservancy. | Consider transfer from Coastal Conservancy to State Parks. |
| | | | d. Improve trail. | N | Coastal Conservancy | see note above | Need to restore overgrown trail to Kasler Point and Abalone Cove shoreline. Provide trail connection to county open space at north side of Rocky Point Restaurant. |

Appendix B
Page 2 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|---|---------------|--------|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|---|
| | | | e. Limit access to sensitive marine habitat. | N | Coastal Conservancy | NC | Retain |
| 4 | Rocky Point | 2 | a. Obtain lateral access. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. "Improve trail, use of parking lot. | N | U | NC | Phase out excessive lighting along entrance driveway to Rocky Point Restaurant; prohibit spot lighting on ocean; signage is needed for public coastal access parking. |
| | | | c. Realign road for safety." | N | U | NC | Consider realignment of road to Rocky Point Restaurant around north side of Rocky Point knoll. |
| 5 | Palo Colorado | 2 or 3 | a. Obtain lateral access from Rocky Point. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Develop scenic overlook at Notley's Landing. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. "Improve trail along bluff, parking at Rocky Point." | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Avoid interference with agricultural use. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| 6 | Rocky Creek | 3 | a. Obtain vertical and lateral access. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Major improvements to trail for safety erosion | N.A. | U | Unclear if this refers to the abandoned segment of Old Coast Road | Clarify to indicate rehabilitation of abandoned segment of Old Coast Road, as safe alternative to the narrow Rocky Cr. Bridge on Hwy. 1 |
| | | | c. Discourage informal access. | Y | U | NC | Retain |
| 7 | Bixby Creek | 2 | a. Provide management for pullout and trail. | P | Caltrans | Caltrans owns the famous concrete arch bridge and the beach below. However, the beach access trail is on private lands accessed from Old Coast Road. | Develop access management and interpretive signage plans in partnership with Marine Sanctuary & US Forest Service. |
| | | | b. Arrange with Caltrans for formal public use. | P | Caltrans | Trail access needs to be arranged with adjacent landowners. | Investigate feasibility of access with adjacent landowner. |

Appendix B
Page 3 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|---|------------------|-------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | c. Develop scenic overlook. | P | Caltrans | Pullout paved and demarcated by boulders. | Retain |
| | | | d. Improve trail. | N | Caltrans | See note in "b" above. | See note in "b" above. |
| | | | e. Provide facilities for overlook. | P | Caltrans | Only Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) bus stop provided so far. | Retain |
| | | | f. Discourage informal trails. | U | Caltrans | Extremely steep and unsafe. | Retain |
| 8 | Hurricane Point | 3 | a. Obtain a bluff top access. | Y | USFS | Parcel acquired by TPL/U.S. Forest Service. | Develop management plan for access point. |
| | | | b. Obtain lateral access from Bixby Creek. | Y | USFS | Access is via Old Coast Road and Brazil Ranch Roads. | Develop CCT link along crest of Serra Hill, via Old Coast Road and Brazil Ranch roads. |
| | | | c. Improve overlook for safety. | Y | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Major improvements to bluff top trail. | Y | USFS | U.S. Forest Service indicates that site will be included in the updated management plan for Los Padres National Forest. | See 8.a above. Needs adequate staffing and management to avoid resource impacts. |
| | | | e. Discourage informal trails and trails to shoreline. | Y | USFS | See note in "d" above | Retain |
| | | | f. Avoid interference with agricultural use. | Y | USFS | Currently fenced and signed. | Develop public access and landscape management plans for lands acquired by the Trust for Public Lands and U.S. Forest Service. |
| 9 | Little Sur River | 1 | a. Provide management. | N | Property Owner | Not managed for any form of public access - only managed for cattle grazing. | Retain |
| | | | b. Acquire as a State Park. | N | Property Owner | NC | As alternative to public acquisition, seek easement or other arrangements with El Sur Ranch for blufftop access links between Andrew Molera SP, Pt. Sur SHP, and Brazil Ranch (now owned by USFS). |
| | | | c. Improve trails. | N | Property Owner | NC | Retain |

Appendix B
Page 4 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------|-------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | d. Develop facilities out of view. | N | Property Owner | NC | Retain |
| | | | e. "Regulate access to sensitive habitats: sand dunes, wetlands." | N.A. | Property Owner | El Sur Ranch completely prohibits public access. Dunes and wetlands nonetheless are subject to trampling impacts, due to cattle operations. | Retain |
| 10 | Point Sur | 2 | a. Obtain access to northern beach. | N | DPR & Property Owner | see note in "e" above | See 9.b above. |
| | | | b. Acquire Pt. Sur if it becomes surplus. | Y | DPR | Both former USCG lands (Point Sur Rock) and U.S. Naval Facility transferred to DPR. Both Coast Guard and Navy retain a small area at each respective location. DPR is preparing a general plan covering both acquired properties | Support preparation of General Plan for Pt. Sur State Historic Park, by DPR. |
| | | | c. Extend lateral access southward when military security allows. | N | USN + Property Owner | Security issues now appear much reduced; Navy retains small holding surrounding the "T-Building" near beach. El Sur Ranch completely prohibits public access. | See 9.b above. |
| | | | d. Develop trail at northern end. | N | DPR & Property Owner | NC | See 9.b above. |
| | | | e. Develop parking. | N | DPR | Will be addressed in State Park General Plan process | See 10.b above. |
| | | | f. Avoid military security conflicts. | Y | USN & DPR | Will be addressed in State Park General Plan process | New General Plan should be developed for the new Point Sur State Historic Park; the U.S. Navy should be consulted on any plans or development to avoid any military security conflicts. |
| | | | e. Avoid interference with agricultural use. | N.A. | DPR | Currently no public access on El Sur Ranch, therefore no agriculture interference. | Retain |

Appendix B
Page 5 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|-------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|----------------|
| 11 | Swiss Canyon (False Sur area) | 2 | Provide management as an extension to Molera State Park. | N | Property Owner | See note above re: El Sur Ranch. All these lands are subject to a scenic easement purchased by Monterey County with Proposition 70 Funds. However, county did not obtain any public access rights. | Consider renaming access area as "False Sur Beach" which better describes this geographic area. See 9.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b. Obtain vertical access to False Point Sur and beach. | N | Property Owner & DPR | See note in "a" above | Former Naval Facility Point Sur is logical access route; see 10.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | c. Develop trail near Swiss Canyon. | N | Property Owner | See note in "a" above | Delete in consideration of 11.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | d. Develop facilities in cypress grove. | N | Property Owner | See note in "a" above | See 9.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | e. Improve parking. | N | DPR | See note in "a" above | See 11.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | f. Improve access from Molera State Park. | N | Property Owner | See note in "a" above | See 9.b above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | g. Separate trail from agricultural activities. | N | Property Owner | See note in "a" above | Retain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | h. Limit access to wildlife habitat. | N | Property Owner | No limits on kayaks visiting Harbor Seal haul-out area near False Sur Beach. | Retain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Molera State Park | 1 | a. Increase management to reduce hazards and resource impacts. | Y | DPR | NC | Correct terminology to read "Andrew Molera State Park" | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b. Emphasize resource protection and natural character of Park unit. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | c. Improve trail to Swiss Canyon. | N | Property Owner | Bluff top trail blocked by El Sur Ranch with barbed wire and high fence. | See 9.b above. |
| | | | d. Improve trails on south side of Big Sur River. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | e. Improve trail to Pfeiffer Beach. | N | DPR & USFS | Existing trail on Deer Ridge blocked by private owner; residential construction started, no CDP (yet) | Pursue enforcement or public acquisition, as needed to protect Cooper Beach and Deer Ridge trail link. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | f. Restrict camping outside of campground. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|----------------|-------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | | g. Discourage informal trails on bluff face above beach. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | h. Limit numbers of visitors and level of development. | Y | DPR | DPR has monitoring and restoration programs in place. Main trail camp is being reconfigured to 22 sites, to match septic system carrying capacity. | Vigorously pursue search for suitable alternate walk-in campsites, to maintain a total of at least 60 such sites (if resource constraints allow). Also pursue alternative wastewater disposal if necessary to accommodate more sites |
| 13 | Pfeiffer Beach | 1 | a. Provide management for access trails. | Y | USFS | Trail management being provided by contract with concessionaire; access is highly suitable for visitors arriving by foot and by bicycle | Retain |
| | | | b. Monitor visitor capacity. | U | USFS | NC | Change text to read: "Monitor and conduct public access capacity analysis to determine if area is being under- or over-utilized and provide recommendations for adaptive management if necessary to protect sensitive wildlife and habitat areas (e.g., control overflow parking along Sycamore Canyon Road, and if needed provide shuttle service between Highway One and the beach). See Recommendations for Issue PA-8 Sycamore Canyon in Appendix A, p. 145. |
| | | | c. Provide bluff top access to Molera Park. | N | USFS & Property Owner | See comment above under #12.e re: property on Deer Ridge (route of connecting trail). | See 12.e above, regarding Cooper Beach and Deer Ridge trail. |
| | | | d. Investigate foot trail along Sycamore Canyon Road. | N | USFS | NC | Retain |
| | | | e. Improve trail to Molera Park. | N | USFS & DPR | see comment above re: Deer Ridge property | See 13.c above, regarding Deer Ridge trail. |

Appendix B
Page 7 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations | | |
|----|-------------|-------|--|--------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | f. Maintain existing parking lot unless beach and road can tolerate increased use. | Y | USFS | Parking area and restrooms recently rebuilt (pursuant to Federal Consistency concurrence by Coastal Commission). | Retain. | | |
| | | | | g. | g. Investigate use of temporary entrance station. | P | USFS | USFS agreed. However, only a kiosk for collecting fees at the parking lot has been implemented. | Seek conformance with USFS agreement to provide contact point at head of Sycamore Canyon Road (at Hwy. 1), where needed to prevent visitor traffic in excess of beach parking lot carrying capacity. |
| | | | h. Post signs in accordance with signing system. | | USFS & Coastal Conservancy | Incomplete. Signs erected, but immediately removed by locals. | Retain | | |
| | | | Discourage trespass onto private lands. | Y | USFS | Being conducted by private landowners, e.g. new fence across Deer Ridge Trail. | Retain | | |
| | | | j. Limit number of visitors to capacity of road and parking. | N | USFS | See comment above re: USFS agreement to provide contact point at head of Sycamore Canyon Road, see also recommendation to monitor and conduct public access capacity analysis in (b) above. | Rephrase to limit automobiles to capacity of road and parking area, not visitors, as the access is highly suitable for visitors arriving by foot and by bicycle. | | |
| 14 | Wreck Beach | | a. Secure offer for beach dedication and road access rights; postpone public use pending suitability evaluation. | N | U | State Coastal Conservancy accepted O.T.D. in 2001. Recent judgment found against new property owner's request to set aside acceptance. | Preserve public access rights obtained through OTD for future potential use. To provide for public safety, discourage access from Pfeiffer Beach unless suitable trail connection can be provided. | | |
| | | | b. "If access deemed suitable in future; improve road and trail, develop parking out of view." | N | U | NC | Change text to read: "When resources allow, initiate suitability evaluation." | | |
| | | | c. Discourage access from Pfeiffer Beach. | N | U | NC | This recommendation should be amplified to read: "unless suitable trail connection can be provided." | | |

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------------|-------|--|--------------------|---|--|--|
| 15 | Coastlands | | a. Retain existing access through Coastlands and Nepenthe or allow by permission of residents. | Y | Coastlands Property Owners Association | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Develop access through Mule Canyon. | N | cc | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Improve trail through Mule Canyon. | N | cc | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Discourage trespass on to private property. | N.A. | cc | NC | Retain |
| | | | e. Limit levels of use. | N.A. | | NC | Retain |
| 16 | Castro-Grimes | 3 | a. Maintain current access to Fuller's Beach. | Y | BSLT & Property Owner | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Investigate use of private road at north end of beach. | N | U | No known investigation to date | When resources allow, initiate discussions with private owner regarding feasibility of managed access, and development of an access management plan. |
| | | | c. Develop scenic overlook. | N | Caltrans | NC | Primary focus should be providing roadside path between pullout and trailhead. |
| | | | d. "Improve parking. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | e. Develop parking for access at north end. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | f. Post signs for littering, resource impacts, and hazards." | N | U | NC | Retain and add text: "Signs must be designed & placed so as to avoid Hwy. 1 viewshed impacts." |
| | | | g. Discourage vertical access north and south of Fuller's Beach. | N.A. | U | Extremely steep terrain. | Retain |
| 17 | Grimes-Partington | | a. Develop scenic overlooks. | N | USFS & Caltrans | Recent Hathaway Ranch acquisition unfolds several opportunities for overlooks and trailheads (e.g. South Lafler shoreline access, Boronda Trail, De Angulo Trail). | Retain |

Appendix B
Page 9 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------------------|-------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | b. Improve selected pullouts for use as overlooks. | N | USFS & Caltrans | NC | See 17.a, above. |
| | | | c. Eliminate informal trails. | N.A. | N.A. | No indication that such trails exist. | Unnecessary. Delete. |
| 18 | Partington Canyon | 1 | Monitor effects of visitor use on ASBS and riparian corridor. | U | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Maintain current level of access. Develop scenic overlook. | Partial | DPR | Status quo public access, to boulder beach and through wagon road tunnel to historic landing. New interpretive exhibit. | Retain |
| 19 | Julia Pfeiffer Burns SP | | a. Maintain existing overlook. | Y | DPR/ Caltrans | All interpretive exhibits at the developed Vista Point have been removed. Need for restroom facility at Vista Point is strongly evident. | State Parks should restore interpretive exhibits, in partnership with Caltrans and Marine Sanctuary. Investigate options regarding restroom facilities. |
| | | | b. Develop other scenic overlooks in park. | N | DPR / Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Develop highway overlooks. | N | DPR / Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Discourage informal trails. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| 20 | Anderson Landing | 3 | a. Develop scenic overlooks. Re-evaluate John Little Reserve for blufftop access. | N | DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Improve selected pullouts to provide parking and facilities. | N | Caltrans & DPR | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Post signs to nearest access point. | N | DPR | NC | See 16.f, above. |
| | | | d. Maintain restriction on access at John Little Reserve. | Y | DPR | NC | State Parks needs to 1) provide more readily visible information on how to obtain access, and 2) open access to the general public as soon as management resources allow. |

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------|-------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | e. Reevaluate access in future. | N | DPR | See note above | See 20.d, above. |
| | | | f. Restrict access in hazardous locations and discourage informal trails. | Y | DPR | NC | Retain |
| 21 | Dolan Creek | 3 | Obtain lateral and blufftop access through permit process. | P | Property Owner | Coastal permit 3-82-001 resulted in OTD for lateral access along Highway One over approximately 1-mile southwards from John Little State Reserve boundary. OTD not yet accepted. | Retain. Secure acceptance of OTD by appropriate agency. |
| | | | b. Develop scenic overlooks. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. "Improve selected pullouts. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Post signs to next access point, hazard warning. | N | U | NC | See 16.f, above. |
| | | | e. Improve trails obtained through permits." | N | U | NC | Retain |
| 22 | Big Creek | 3 | a. Obtain access to beach. | N | UC | UC Natural Reserves System limits access to: researchers and students (including U.C. Extension) | Initiate discussions with UC for the purpose of broadening public access opportunities, consistent with research & preservation purposes of the Big Creek Reserve. See Recommendation PA-10.9. |
| | | | b. Improve pullout for parking. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| 23 | Vista Point | | a. Maintain Vista Point Overlook. | Y | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Retain existing access. | N | Property Owner | What access? None observed. | Investigate status of public access to Gamboa Beach. See also 16.b above. |
| | | | c. "Post signs to nearest access point, hazard warning." | N | U | NC | See 16.f above. |

Appendix B
Page 11 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|---------------|-------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | d. Discourage informal trails. | Y | U | Done by Circle M Ranch owners | Retain |
| 24 | Gamboa Point | 2 | a. Obtain legal access on existing road. | N | Property Owner | NC | See 23.b, above. |
| | | | b. Improve pullout for parking and scenic overlook. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Restrict access in hazardous location north of Gamboa Beach | N.A. | U | Extremely steep topography precludes access to ruins of Old Gamboa landing. | Retain |
| 25 | Vicente Creek | | a. Provide visual access at Vicente Creek Bridge. | U | U | Uncertain what this means; views are generally unobstructed here. | Delete. Purpose unclear. |
| | | | b. Improve pullout at Vicente Creek for scenic overlook. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |
| | | | c. Discourage informal trails. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Limit access to sensitive riparian and wildlife habitat. | N | U | NC | Retain |
| 26 | Lopez Point | 3 | a. Obtain bluff top access. | N | Property Owner | Private ranch – no public access currently allowed | Retain |
| | | | b. Provide visual access at several pullouts. | Y | Caltrans | Views are generally unobstructed here. | Retain |
| | | | c. Develop trail with no interference to agricultural uses. | N | Property Owner | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Avoid conflict with grazing use. | N.A. | Property Owner | No public access currently allowed. | Retain |
| 27 | Lucia | | a. Obtain bluff top access. | N | Property Owner | Private ranch. | Retain |
| | | | b. Secure vertical access through permits. | N | Property Owner | NC | Change to: Explore feasibility of managed public access program, in partnership with owners. |
| | | | c. Develop bluff top trail and scenic overlook. | N | Property Owner | Picnic area provided for customers, s. of Lucia Lodge | Retain |
| | | | d. Improve parking at scenic overlooks. | N | Caltrans | NC | Retain |

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------------------------|-------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | e. Discourage informal trails. | Y | Property Owner | Done by Harlan Family Ranch – no trespassing posted | Retain |
| 28 | Limekiln Creek | 1 | a. Maintain existing access at Limekiln Creek. | Y | DPR | Former commercial campground acquired by DPR. Public access and resource protection greatly enhanced. A success story! | Support preparation of a General Plan for this relatively new State Park. |
| | | | b. Obtain bluff top access at Rockland Landing. | Y | DPR/ Caltrans | Poor sight distance for Hwy. 1 crossing. | Change text to: "Provide safe crossing of Hwy. 1 for access to historic Rockland Landing." |
| | | | c. Improve trail and parking at Rockland Landing. | N | DPR | Old wagon road now abandoned and overgrown. Strong potential for restoration and historic interpretation. | As part of the General Plan process, plan for public access and interpretation at Rockland Landing promontory. |
| | | | d. Discourage informal trails north and south of Rockland Landing. | U | DPR | Steep cliffs. No such trails observed. | Retain |
| 29 | Kirk Creek (Los Padres NF) | 1 | Maintain management of campground and beach. | Y | USFS | A favorite bluff top campground. Good bicycle and tent area provided. But, being overrun with invasive pampas grass | Encourage control of invasive non-native plant species. |
| | | | b. Post signs for beach access. | P | USFS | Existing signs, but Kirk Creek Beach trail sign is hard to find. | Improve visibility of existing signs within campground. |
| 30 | Mill Creek (Los Padres NF) | 1 | a. Maintain management of campground and beach. | Р | USFS | Excellent, very well maintained picnic area, restroom, and beach access. But, no campground. | Change from "campground" to "picnic area." |
| | | | b. Post signs for beach access. | N | USFS | Not needed, since beach is obviously right in front of the parking area. | Clarify to read "Post beach access sign at Highway 1" |
| 31 | Wild Cattle Creek | 3 | a. Manage access across bluffs. | N | USFS | No special access management seen. | Retain |
| | | | b. Provide bluff top trails. | N | USFS | Bluff top lateral access, as part of CCT, should be developed. | Retain |
| | | | c. Improve one designated trail. | N | USFS | NC | Change to read "Consolidate volunteer paths into an improved coastal access trail." |
| | | | d. Provide minimal improvements for safety and separation from agricultural use. | N | USFS | No access improvements seen. | Delete "and separation from agricultural use." No such use here. |

Appendix B
Page 13 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|-------------------|-------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | e. Discourage informal trail. | N | USFS | Recommendations for discouraging not clear. All land here is public. | See 31.c, above. |
| 32 | Pacific Valley | 1 | a. Manage use of area outside designated coastal units. | Y | USFS | Multiple stiles along Hwy. 1 provide outstanding example of dispersed recreational access, and concurrent and compatible recreation and grazing uses. Avoids overuse of any one area. | Change to: "Maintain existing stiles along Hwy. 1, to facilitate concurrent grazing use and public access." |
| | | | b. Provide designated trail to bluff top. | Y | USFS | See note in "a" above. | Clarify by adding: "only where needed to avoid resource impacts." |
| | | | c. Improve trail. | P | USFS | Existing CCT segment is quite good, except for north end. | North end of existing trail needs vegetative definition to keep pedestrians from entering sensitive habitat areas, and an informal, seasonal plank bridge is needed where trail crosses Prewitt Creek. |
| | | | d. Develop low level of facilities at bluff top trail. | Y | USFS | See note in "c" above. | Change to: "Provide additional stiles at north and south ends, where blufftop trail will meet Hwy. 1" |
| | | | e. Discourage informal trail. | N.A. | USFS | Probably not applicable, since strategy is to minimize impacts through dispersal. | Change to: "Continue dispersed recreational access, to avoid overuse of any one area." |
| 33 | Sand Dollar Beach | 1 | Manage impacts from heavy use and hanggliding. | Y | USFS | USFS has substantially enhanced public access facilities here, including new ADA-access overlook and interpretive exhibits. | Change to: "Maintain improved picnic ground, wheelchair-accessible blufftop overlook, & beach access stairs. Manage to minimize impacts from hang gliding and other recreational uses." |
| 34 | Willow Creek | 1 | a. Maintain beach accesses. | Y | USFS | Good beach access at Jade Cove and Willow Creek. However, bluff top CCT segment needs to be constructed to connect these two sites. | Retain |

Appendix B
Page 14 of 16

| | ACCESS AREA | Class | LUP Site Specific Recommendation ^a | Implementa tion | Managing Agency | Comments | Periodic Review Recommendations |
|----|--------------|-------|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 35 | Alder Creek | | a. Manage for grazing use. | Y | USFS | NC | Change to: "Encourage grazing use to minimize loss of open range & facilitate dispersed recreational use." |
| | | | b. Retain bluff top access at current level. | Y | USFS/ Caltrans | But, grazing has not been sufficient to prevent open areas from becoming filled with poison oak and other brushy species, thus impairing access. | Change to: "Provide blufftop path between Gorda Store and Treebones campground." |
| | | | c. Develop scenic overlooks. | N | Caltrans, Coastal Conserv- ancy, USFS | NC | Retain |
| | | | d. Post signs on nearest beach access. | N | Caltrans, Coastal Conserv- ancy, USFS | NC | Change to: "Provide beach access information at Gorda. Maintain access at Alder Creek Beach." |
| | | | e. Improve pullouts and bluff top trails. | N | Caltrans, Coastal Conserv- ancy, USFS | Coastal Conservancy has acquired parcel suitable for bluff top observation point at Gorda. However, the site remains undeveloped. | Add clarification: "including blufftop observation point acquired by Coastal Conservancy at Gorda." Provides good seasonal view of elephant seal haul-out area directly below. |
| 36 | Salmon Creek | | a. Monitor informal use to determine resource damage and safety. | U | USFS | NC | Retain |
| | | | b. Maintain access at Villa Creek. | N.A. | USFS | No developed access seen. Probably confused with access at Alder Creek Beach, immediately to the north. | Change to: "Restore historic rockwork fountain & picnic table at Redwood Gulch. Provide interpretation of southernmost natural redwood grove." |
| | | | c. Post signs on nearest beach access and hazards. | N | USFS | Current efforts are focused on reducing excess sign clutter, as part of CHMP. | Add: "at Silver Peak Wilderness trailhead parking area." |
| | | | d. Discourage informal trails. | N | USFS | Informal trails do not appear to be an issue here. | Retain |

Appendix B
Page 15 of 16

Table PA-10e Appendix B

^a Generally paraphrased from "Provision/Acquisition, Management, Improvements, and Constraints/Restrictions" listed in *Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan* Table 2, "Site Specific Recommendations for Shoreline Access."

b Intended to indicate if task has been implemented or not; Y= Yes, has been implemented; N = No, has not been implemented; P = Partial implementation; U = Implementation Uncertain; N/A = Not applicable.

^c Managing agency apparently responsible for coastal access point: BSLT = Big Sur Land Trust; Caltrans = California Department of Transportation; CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game; Coastal Conservancy = California Coastal Conservancy; DPR = Department of Parks and Recreation; MCO = Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department; TNC = The Nature Conservancy; U = Uncertain; UC = University of California; USFS = U. S. Forest Service; USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; USN = U.S. Navy.

^d NC = No additional comments.